EXHIBIT E

	Page 1
1	
2	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
4	
5	In the Matter of:
6	BERNARD L. MADOFF, Main Case No.
7	Debtor. 09-11893-brl
8	
9	SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION,
10	Plaintiff,
11	
12	- against - Adv. Case No.
13	08-01789-brl
14	BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES, LLC,
15	Defendant.
16	x
17	IRVING H. PICARD, TRUSTEE FOR THE LIQUIDATION OF B,
18	Plaintiff,
19	
20	- against - Adv. Case No.
21	10-05328-brl
22	MORGAN, INDIVIDUALLY, AND AS GUARDIAN OF A.V.M., et al.,
23	Defendant.
24	x
25	

	Page	2
1		
2	U.S. Bankruptcy Court	
3	One Bowling Green	
4	New York, New York	
5		
6	June 1, 2011	
7	10:03 AM	
8		
9		
10	BEFORE:	
11	HON. BURTON R. LIFLAND	
12	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page 32

advances.

One other point I'd like to make is that when the interim distribution is made, I cannot and I will not be put in the position that counsel wishes of my making an over-distribution so that at a later date we will have a problem and might have to contact some of the distributees to recover back money. That happened in an old act case called Lilinits (ph.). It will not happen in the Madoff case. Further, the objection is incorrect when it states that customer property amounts to more than 9.8 billion dollars. I suspect that Mr. Sheehan has gone through that math and I will not belabor that point.

Another objection I would like to comment on, as Mr. Sheehan did late in his presentation, is the unfounded allegation about my compensation and the assertion that I have provided misleading information to the Court and to the public. To the contrary, it is objectors' counsel who has been spreading false information both in pleadings and press releases. Counsel has been quoted in the press, as Mr. Sheehan has indicated, there was nothing in the letter that she filed yesterday that changes that. She is way off the mark. I don't receive any percentage near thirty-five or fifty percent and I certainly haven't received sixty to ninety million dollars since the beginning of the case. Contrary to the allegation in counsel's letter of yesterday, I am not a decision maker for SIPC. And I am not a quasi-governmental agency or act in a